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## Introduction

Working on investment banking has been a strong but incredible experience, since I have learned lot of things (PowerPoint, Excel, and basically how to work). Investment Banking is not a sector for everyone, that's true; but if you are one of the lucky ones who can handle it, it is with no shadow of doubt the best experience you can have. I feel really grateful of working on IB (Investment Banking) because it completed me, I evaluated lots of industries and I learned about lots of companies, and of course increased my English writing and understanding skills a $100 \%$. On the other hand, since I worked on a multinational company, I also learned about how is the approving process on every transaction, how actually linked is the Colombia subsidiary with the other LatAm and though I did not work in the other areas, my team and I worked with them and this position helped me to understanding what areas I liked and not, and how all of them complement each other to make a huge Multinational Bank.

The last of all, but the most important was the people that I met, Citi recruitment is great because I don't know how they do but they find really smart and more than this really complete (funny, outgoing, integrating and more). The team makes Citi a great place to work, the work environment is amazing.

And of course my team, on the first place Pedro, the Head of IB, he is my role model and that it's a problem because I will have to work a lot, and lot more, to be like him, he is the Harvey Specter (Suits) of Investment Banking, that means that sometimes when you feel tired (really late at night) and you want to give up, you thing "If I keep working I will be like him, so continue". Elizabeth or "Eli" she is the best and the smartest person of Citi, I'm sure about it, she is not a just the boss, she is a teacher (really strict), an adviser and the most important support; she is the best boss you can have or expect, I'm sure that I will always compare my next bosses with her. And Lucas, my direct boss, the one who have to breath every time that a did a silly mistake and have patience when I had problems with my technological devices, but he did the most important role, he toughs me all that I know about finance and IB, and prioritize, and working hard, and Bloomberg, and I must say everything that I learned in IB was because of him, sometimes he got or went? Mad, but now I understand him, is not easy to deal with all the things that he has to do at the same time. So Lucas thanks a lot for having patience and teaching me all that I learned about Investment Banking. And thanks to all my team, because I'm sure that the next job that I will have is going to be because my experience in Investment Banking at Citibank.

In my thesis I decided that the most complete way to make a summary of my last nine months is by doing a Company Valuation, because I will have to evaluate all the areas of Investment Banking (except meet clients and traveling), and I will also help our team, because Grupo Argos is one of our key clients, and having a valuation of Grupo Argos and its subsidiaries will be useful to my team.

## Objectives

## General

- Apply the things that I learned in my last year, on Investment Banking, in Grupo Argos or "the company" by doing financial models, company profiles, industry analysis and other research to Valuate Grupo Argos.


## Specific

- Develop financial models with several variables
- Select accurate comparable companies to have an accurate price of the company
- Analyze the most important assets of Argos to preponderate their value for the company
- Compare the different options to valuate a company and explain how each of them works
- Understand how to take assumptions for each company of the Holding, depending on their needs


## Hypothesis

The DCF is the most accurate valuation method for valuating a holding like Grupo Argos

## 1. Strategic Evaluation

### 1.1 Key Facts:

Grupo Argos is a holding company with sustainable investments in strategic sectors of infrastructure: cement, energy, ports, coal and real estate. Its legal nature corresponds to a corporation, listed in the Colombia Stock Exchange, which is also the case of Argos (cement) and Celsia (energy), its two most important subsidiaries. Recently the company acquired the $49,8 \%$ of Odinsa an important infrastructure player in Colombia, Grupo Argos is seeking to do a takeover to control Odinsa.

The creation of value for the more than 10,000 shareholders is based on the diversification of its investments, by offering a solid portfolio in different sectors. Grupo Argos has a $9.12 \%$ weight in the Colombian COLCAP Index, and including its investment portfolio, the company represents $43.8 \%$ of the index. The listed liquid assets make up $78 \%$ of Grupo Argos' asset value and it is not very complex to replicate them.

| Stock Data |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current Price | COP $\$ 18,300$ |
| High Price | $\bullet \$ 23,780$ |
| Low Price | $\bullet \$ 15,020$ |
| Shares Outstanding | 651,112 |
| Market Cap | COP\$ 11,915,349 mm |
| 3-mth avg. daily  <br> vol. $\bullet \quad$ Fitch Ratings: |  |

Stock Performance (Aug. 2013- Sep.
2015)


### 1.2 Grupo Argos Investments:

Grupo Argos is part of GEA, the strongest partner investors can aspire to join in Colombia, and has a diversified portfolio of leading Colombian Champions.


Porfolio Investments (Non-Controlling)



Portfolio Investments



### 1.3 Cementos Argos

Established in 1934 by Claudino Arango Jaramillo, Cementos Argos is one of the most important cement player in Colombia

- Product portfolio include Cement and Concrete (RMX) products
- Cementos Argos exports to more than 27 countries
Cementos Argos is the largest Colombian Cement player
- Largest player in Colombia and fifth in Latam


## Revenues Breakdown

 By Geography

- Second largest cement producer in the Southeast of USA and second largest RMX producer in USA
Cementos Arogs operations are vertically integrated
Cementos Argos was awarded in 9 projects of the first wave of 4G infrastructure plan and in 8 projects of the second wave
Argos owns four ports in the U.S. and four in Colombia as well as two in Venezuela, one in Panama, on in the Dominican Republic and one in Haiti

Cementos Argos S.A. has a presence in Venezuela through its subsidiary Corporación de Cemento Andino C.A., which is currently a party to a legal proceeding regarding the expropriation by the Venezuelan government
Argos owns 13 cement plants, 390 RMX plants and 9 Grinding stations

- In 2011, Argos purchased the Lafarge operations in the southeastern U.S. adding two cement plants, one clinker grinding, 79 concrete plants and five terminals to the Argos U.S. operation for US $\$ 760 \mathrm{~mm}$
Cementos Argos more than 2,800 mixer trucks
Argos expanded the installed capacity at 3 cement plants in Colombia (Rioclaro, Nare and Cairo)
- +900,000 MTPA of installed capacity with an investment value of US $\$ 96 \mathrm{~mm}$ Argos plans to increase the cement installed capacity at Sogamoso plant located in BoyacaColombia
- $+900,000$ MTPA of installed capacity with an investment value of US\$ 96 mm

Cementos Argos 2014 and 2Q 2015 results were severally affected by FX devaluation in Colombia

Net revenues


### 1.4 Celsia Energy

Established in 2001, Celsia is a leading company in the power generation, transmission and distribution market in Colombia, Costa Rica and Panama

Celsia is the second largest Power generation company in Panama and the fifth largest company in Colombia and Costa Rica

- Celsia holds an installed capacity of 1,777 MW in Colombia, and a capacity of 535 MW in


## Generation Breakdown

 Panama and Costa Rica

- Celsia generated 6,437 GW in Colombia in 2014

The company has been listed in the Colombia stock exchange (BVC) since 2005 US $\$ 1.5$ Bn Market Cap.

Celsia has increased its power generation portfolio in recent years by pursuing strategic acquisitions:

- 2007: Termoflores power generator - US $\$ 320 \mathrm{~mm}$
- 2008: Meriléctrica power generator - US\$ 12 mm ; Generar power generator in Jerico, Antioquia; Hidromontañitas power generation in Donmatias, Antioquia
- 2009: EPSA - Estimated US\$ 800 mm
- 2014: GDF Suez Power Generation in Costa Rica (Eolic farm) and Panama (Hydropower and thermal) - US\$ 840 mm
+1,400 employees
Net revenues
- Ricardo Sierra Fernández, CEO since 2015

Celsia LTM revenues grew $2.5 \%$ in local currency to US\$ 1.3 Bn

- The growth was mainly due to an increase in power generation in Colombia, primarily through EPSA

EBITDA of US\$ 447 mm with a $35 \%$ margin, slightly down from 36\% in 2013

In 2014, Celsia acquired GDF Suez operations in Panama
 and Costa Rica, valued in US $\$ 840 \mathrm{~mm}$

- The acquisitions in nearby countries make part of the power integration strategy of Celsia


### 1.5 Grupo Odinsa

Established in 1992 by the Colombian Association of Construction Engineers (ACIC), Odinsa was incorporated as an engineering and civil works construction company, today is a leading infrastructure player in Colombia

- Company's business line includes road concessions, airports, power generation, mass transportation, ports, construction and toll collection

Odinsa has been listed on the Colombian Stock Exchange since 2005 with a COP 1.7 Tn Market Cap. ${ }^{(3)}$

2014 Revenues Breakdown \%


- In 2015, the Colombian conglomerate Grupo Argos acquired a $49.8 \%$ stake in Odinsa
- The group acquired a $24.8 \%$ stake through several transactions in the stock exchange at an average price of COP\$ 9,495 per share for a total value of COP $\$ 461,635 \mathrm{~mm}$
- Subsequently, Grupo Argos acquired an additional $25.0 \%$ stake through a public tender offer at a price of COP 9,500 per share for a total value of COP 465,611mm

Odinsa has been awarded with 4G concession projects and is working to participate in the third round of the ANI auctions

- Awarded the Autopista conexión Pacífica (98 kms) highway in 2014 (4G - Round I)
- Awarded the Malla Vial ( 117 kms ) project in 2015 (4G Round II)
- Also participating in the tender of a Chilean airport valued in US\$ 630 mm

Mr. Victor Cruz Vega, CEO since 2011
+1,400 employees
Odinsa holds 10 road concessions (1,673 km) in Colombia, Aruba and Dominican Republic
Recently awarded, "Pacifico 2" and ''Malla Vial'' concessions, under 4G Colombian program

The company holds stakes in concessions of airports in Colombia (35\% in OPAIN - El Dorado (1)), Panama (Tocumen International Airport Expansion) and Ecuador (50\% in Mariscal)

### 1.6 Conconcreto

In the last quarter of 2014, Conconcreto and Grupo Argos reached an agreement to create a Real Estate Company

- Each group has $50 \%$ of the Real Estate vehicle
- Property Rental: Industrial properties and distribution centers, office and corporate buildings, data centers, retail, regional malls and shopping centers
- Expected EBITDA Margin: 16\% / Investor

RE Portfolio Breakdown as March 2015
 IRR: 15\%-25\%

Conconcreto contributed $405,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and an inventory of projects worth over US $\$ 418 \mathrm{~mm}$. Grupo Argos contributed $32,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of operating assets as well as ongoing construction projects. The company also committed to invest US\$ 183 mm in cash over the next 4 years The current portfolio is over $437,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and worth over US $\$ 600 \mathrm{~mm}$. Companies expect to reach nearly $700,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and a US $\$ 1.2$ bn portfolio within the next 4 years, becoming one of the largest Real Estate holdings in Colombia
The new enterprise is currently in the due diligence phase and the merger is expected to be concluded during the second half of 2015
Capital Structure
Equity Injection (USD\$ MM)

| Assets | 409 | 140 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Land | - | 56 |
| Cash | - | 183 |
| Others | 10 | 40 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 9}$ |

## Situn

Estabished in 1990's in Barranquilla, Situm is engaged in the real estate and project development of Grupo Argos.

- Situm developed projects in the Caribean coast of Colombia, and in Valle del Cauca

US\$ 1.4 bn Land Bank, 6 projects under development for over USD $\$ 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ CapEx
Positive perspectives: Housing deficit \& Population growth US\$ 44 mm Annual Revenues in 2014

### 1.7 Compass

Established in 2012 by Grupo Argos and Grupo Ership, Compas is one of the important port network in Colombia

- Compas owns two ports in Cartagena, one in Buenaventura, Barranquilla, Tolu, Panama and Houston
- Compas holds strategic alliances with Argos

Compas is the only port group that holds operations in Colombia in the both oceans

- Compas mobilized in 2014 over 2,4 mm tons and attended over 517 ships
- As the $90 \%$ of the revenues of Compas are in USD, the company has been strongly benefited by the FX devaluation

Alberto Jimenez CEO, since 2012
+325 employees
Financial Results


## Sator

Is the coal division of Grupo Argos, holds operations in Bijao mine in Cordoba, and other six mines in Colombia

The revenues of 2014 increased a $9 \%$ raising US\$ 40 mm
Ebitda in the same period was of US\$ 1 mm
Eduardo Bettin CEO of Sator since 2013

### 1.8 Portfolio (Non-controlling Investments)

## Gancolombia

Founded in 1945 in Medellín, Bancolombia is the largest commercial bank in Colombia and one of the largest in Latin America

Bancolombia holds a regional footprint in Colombia, Panama, Peru, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Puerto Rico, Cayman

Bancolombia's Revenues amounted US\$ 6.5 bn in 2014
Market Cap: US\$ 7 bn
CEO Carlos Raul Yepes

Founded in 1920 in Medellin, is one of the strongest players in the food market in Colombia. Nutresa produce and distributes cold cuts, biscuits, chocolates, coffee, ice cream and pasta. The company exports to more than 70 countries

Grupo Nutresas Revenues amounted US\$ 1.5 bn in 2014
Market Cap: US\$ 3 bn
CEO Carlos Enrique Piedrahita

## opvoo <br> sura

Founded in 1944, Grupo Sura is one of the strongest insurance players in Colombia, holds investments in 9 countries in LatAm.

Grupo Sura revenues amounted US\$ 345 mm in 2014

## CEO David Bojanini

EPSA

Founded in 1960, is the largest power generation company in Valle del Cauca, the company is publicly traded, since 2008.

2 Competitive Positioning: 2.1 Porter's Forces


| ARGOS | $\sqrt{W}$ | Oindinsasa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brand Image, loyalty Dominant position in local market Income | International assets <br> Mix Hydro-Thermal | Grupo Argos as supplier of concrete |
| diversification (multiple geographies and products) | More than 15 years of experience | High experienced team |
| Economies of scale |  | Diversified presence in LatAm |
| Poor international brand name | Poor brand name High levels of debt | Not a strong player in the Colombian 4G plan |
| Poor international partnerships | High dependence on EPSA operations | Portfolio is focused in too much sectors (infrastructure, power, airports, construction, among others) |
| Globalization, increase its share in developed and undeveloped markets Increase customer base | High margins due to the required know how and capital requirements | International expansion <br> Increase negotiation power to award more years per concession |
| Choose international partnerships | High entry barriers (few players) | Long term deals, secure the cash flow |
| High sensitive to the economic growth |  |  |
| Low revenue margins International players (CEMEX, Lafarge, Holcim \& Others), with interest in entering to Colombia market | Regulation changes by government Dependence of hydrologic conditions New entrants of stronger players Green energies | High sensitive to the economic growth High regulated market, with dependence on the government |

### 2.3 PEST



## 3. Category \& Geographical Opportunities

## Regional Positioning

Grupo Argos subsidiaries are available in 14 countries and export to more than 30 countries.

Division
Regional Presence

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Headquarter } & \text { su } \\ \text { Regional } \\ \text { Footprint }\end{array}$

## 4. Operations (Assumptions for Valuation)

Grupo Argos holds locations of its companies in more than 14 countries in LatAm, although this situation I have decided to consider the Colombian operations as the most important in the revenues and EBITDA of the Holding. Therefore, all the country assumptions (country risk, Tax rate, inflation, and others) are related with Colombian situation.

On the other hand, the results are presented in USD; hence the LTM results are decreasing because of the COP devaluation. Although this, the excel models are in both currencies to reflect the situation of the company in local currency.

|  | Consideration | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial | Statements | Grupo Argos Annual Reports (Web Page Argos) |
| LTM Results | 2Q 2015 | The 3Q results were already announced |
| USD/COP | Average Year End of Period Projections | For P\&L <br> For Balance Sheet and Discounted Cash Flow Assumptions of Citivelocity 2015 |
| Comparable Transactions | LatAm Comps Stock Price Forecasts | Most relevant Cement Players in Latam Price of Bloomberg as of November 28 / 2015 Factset Forecast Assumptions |

The divisions of the company were considered by their preponderance in the Revenues and EBITDA, the nonoperating assets as are not controlling investments, do not consolidate the EBITDA, and they just consider the dividends.


## 5. Valuation Methods

During my last year on Investment Banking I have applied some valuation methods to value some companies, some are just to compare two numbers, and others required to build a complete excel model.

On the other hand, by doing this valuation of Grupo Argos I can cover all the valuation methods, due to is condition of Holding, that consolidate a group of companies.

In the first place I decided to use the simplest way to have an estimate of the value of the company:

### 5.1 Comparable (EV / Multiple):

|  | Stock | \% of 52 | Market | Firm | FV / Revenue ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  | FV / EBITDA ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (US\$ in millions, except per share data) | Price ${ }^{(1)}$ | Wk. High | Value | Value ${ }^{(2)}$ | 12015E | 2016E | 2017E | 2015E | 2016E | 2017E |
| Latin America Cement |  |  |  |  | I |  |  | \| || |  |  |
| Cemex | \$5.94 | 48.0\% | \$7,976 | \$22,498 | $1.5 x$ | 1.4 x | 1.4 x | 8.1 x | 7.3x | 6.6x |
| Cementos Argos ${ }^{(4)}$ | 3.29 | 92.7 | 4,434 | 5,898 | \| 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 12.8 | 10.9 | 9.7 |
| Cemex LatAm | 3.09 | 50.5 | 1,720 | 2,784 | ${ }_{1} 1.8$ | 1.8 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.2 |
| Union Andina De Cementos | 0.56 | 61.7 | 918 | 2,477 | $\\|_{\text {I }} \mathrm{NA}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Grupo Cementos De Chihuahua | 2.77 | 96.5 | 922 | 1,256 | 1 NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Cementos Pacasmayo | 1.33 | 81.5 | 737 | 943 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 6.7 |
| Latin America Cement Mean |  |  |  |  | \| 2.2x | 2.1x | 1.9x | 9.3x | 8.1x | 7.3x |
| Latin America Cement Median |  |  |  |  | \| 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | \| 8.7 | 7.6 | 6.9 |

The simplest valuation methods, are the Enterprise Value (Market Cap. + Debt - Interest Cash), the Market Cap is the stock price * shares outstanding, times EBITDA or Revenues. This methodology considers the Debt of the company, but doesn't consider the Capex for the transaction.

In this case Grupo Argos has the biggest multiple, in both Revenue and EBITDA due to its investment in other strategic assets, not only cements

### 5.2 Comparable (P/E Multiple):

| (US\$ in millions, except per share data) | Price / Earnings ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  | Net Debt / | FV I | '14A - 17E CAGR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014A | 12015E | 2016E | 2017E | 2015E EBITDA | Capacity | Revenue | EBITDA |
| Latin America Cement |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cemex | NM | $\\|^{\text {I }}$ NM | 44.3x | 19.6x | 5.5 x | 240.1/t | 8.9\% | 14.8\% |
| Cementos Argos ${ }^{(4)}$ | 44.3 | \| 32.9 | | 26.9 | 21.1 | 4.0 | 296.4 | 17.1 | 22.6 |
| Cemex LatAm | 11.0 | \| 9.4 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 1,483.2 | 11.7 | 11.5 |
| Union Andina De Cementos | 11.4 | $\\|^{\text {I }}$ NA | NA | NA | NA | 325.9 | NA | NA |
| Grupo Cementos De Chihuahua | 24.4 | \| NA | NA | NA | NA | 273.0 | NA | NA |
| Cementos Pacasmayo | 11.2 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 1.5 | 325.2 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| Latin America Cement Mean | 20.5x | \| $18.1 \times$ | 22.6x | 14.5x | 3.3 x | 568.8/t | 10.5\% | 14.3\% |
| Latin America Cement Median | 11.4 | \| 10.7 | 18.9 | 14.7 | 3.1 | 306.9 | 10.3 | 13.2 |

The other useful comparable is the Price to Earnings Ratio, which compare the stock price with the earnings per share of the company, this ratio doesn't consider either the debt, so a company with more leverage will tend to be less profitable, but in long run will be more productive. On the other hand, as the price depends on the market cap, the price can vary from small changes in the stock market.

In this case the ratio of Grupo Argos, is bigger than its pairs, due to its investments in other companies, and as the Holding is not an operating company, just receive the dividends, and cash flows of its subsidiaries, that reflects a larger multiple.

If the multiple is larger is better for the company, because the company has more added value, on the other hand if you are an investor, is better to have a lower multiple due to the high possibilities for the company to increase value.

### 5.3 Discounted Cash Flow:

The DCF Model is the most used in Investment Banking, due to its wide range of variables and the precision level that can be implied on it. All future cash flows are estimated and discounted by using cost of capital to give their present values (PVs). The sum of all future cash flows, both incoming and outgoing, is the net present value (NPV), which is taken as the value or price of the cash flows in question (WallStreet Oasis, 2015)

- Discount Rate (WACC)

The Weighted Average Cost of Capital or Cost of Capital, is the rate that reflects the risk of the Cash Flows, the rate that the company is expected to pay to its security holders.

## WACC

$\mathbf{E} /(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{D})$ [Equity structure]
Cost of Equity (CAPM)
$\boldsymbol{R f}$ Risk Free Rate [Time value of money] in most of the cases the "30-Year Treasury of US' is used as Risk free rate.

$$
{\underset{\text { WACC }}{ }}_{\text {WACC Temp }} W A C C=\frac{E}{D+E}\left(r_{e}\right)+\frac{D}{D+E}\left(r_{d}\right)(1-t)
$$

| Target Capital Structure (Debt / Total Capital): | 25.0 - | 35.0 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median Capital Structure of Selected Companies: |  | 32.1 \% |
| After-Tax Cost of Debt: |  | 3.6 \% |
| Expected Long-Term Yield: |  | 5.5 \% |
| - Statutory Marginal Tax Rate: |  | 34.0 \% |
| Cost of Equity: 5.0\% Equity Market Risk Premium | 7.5 - | 8.8 \% |
| 7.5\% Equity Market Risk Premium | 8.9 - | 10.4 \% |
| Risk Free Rate (30-Year Treasury Bond): |  | 3.1 \% |

+ Beta [Volatility or risk] if the B is below 1, the company holds lower volatility than the market. The Beta must be unlevered to reduce the tax effects due to the debt. In this case the Cement players are less volatile than the market (0.46)
*( $\boldsymbol{R m} / \boldsymbol{R f}$ ) [Market Premium]
Expected return - Rf rate, depends on the expectations of investors, in this case (from 5\% / 7.5\%).
$\mathbf{D} /(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{D})$ [Debt structure]
Cost of Debt (Interest rate pay to Banks or debt holders) in this case Grupo Argos debt is value at 5.5\% (long term yield)
*(1-t) [Tax Effect]

| Assumed Equity Market Risk Premium - low : Assumed Equity Market Risk Premium - high: |  | 5.0 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7.5 \% |
| Relevered Equity Beta: | 0.57 - | 0.63 |
| - Industry Median Unlevered Asset Beta: ${ }^{(3)}$ <br> - Implied Debt / Equity Ratio: | 33.3 - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.46 \\ & 53.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Political Risk Premium: | 1.5 - | 2.5 \% |
| High Yield Issuer? (Y / N) |  | N |
| Weighted Average Cost of Capital: | 6.5 - | 8.0 \% |
| 5.0\% Equity Market Risk Premium | 6.5 - | 7.0 \% |
| 7.5\% Equity Market Risk Premium | 7.6 - | 8.0 \% |

The low end of the WACC is based upon the low end of the equity market risk premium (5.0\%) and the high end of the debt / total capital ratio. The high end is based on the high end of the equity market risk premium (7.0\%) and the low end of the capital structure.
$\mathbf{W A C C} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{6 . 5 \%} \mathbf{- 8 . 0 \%}$ If the WACC is higher, the returns are lower. The more leverage is the company, the WACC is lower, although the debt must be responsible used and controlled by the stakeholders.

- Cash Flows (Forecast)

| ([] in millions) | Projected Fiscal Year Ending December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| BITDA | 3,467,204 | 3,858,500 | 4,206,659 | 4,078,547 | 4,041,686 | 4,239,940 |  |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 2,933,000 | 2,786,350 | 2,730,623 | 2,676,011 | 2,622,490 | 2,570,041 |  |
| Provisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Financial Transaction Tax | $(420,713)$ | $(399,677)$ | $(379,693)$ | $(360,709)$ | $(378,744)$ | $(397,681)$ |  |
| EBIT | 5,979,491 | 6,245,173 | 6,557,589 | 6,393,849 | 6,285,432 | 6,412,299 | 0 |
| Effective Tax Rate | 34.0\% | 35.0\% | 36.0\% | 37.0\% | 38.0\% | 39.0\% | 34.0\% |
| Taxes | (2,033,027) | (2,185,810) | (2,360,732) | $(2,365,724)$ | $(2,388,464)$ | $(2,500,796)$ | 0 |
| Net Operating Profit After Tax | 3,946,464 | 4,059,362 | 4,196,857 | 4,028,125 | $3,896,968$ | 3,911,502 | 0 |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | (2,933,000) | (2,786,350) | $(2,730,623)$ | (2,676,011) | $(2,622,490)$ | (2,570,041) | 0 |
| Provisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NOL Tax Shield | $(136,996)$ | $(134,256)$ | $(131,571)$ | $(128,939)$ | $(126,361)$ | $(123,833)$ |  |
| Financial Transaction Tax | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Equity Tax | 104,876 | 94,388 | 84,950 | 76,455 | 68,809 | 61,928 |  |
| Change in Net Working Capital | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Capital Expenditures | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 |  |
| Unlevered Free Cash Flow | 1,481,344 | 1,733,147 | 1,919,616 | 1,799,636 | 1,716,934 | 1,779,567 | 0 |
| Mandatory Investments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Unlevered Free Cash Flow After Mandataroy Inv. | 1,481,344 | 1,733,147 | 1,919,616 | 1,799,636 | 1,716,934 | 1,779,567 | 0 |
| Average FX rate | 2,800 | 3,000 | 3,200 | 3,000 | 2,800 | 3,000 | 3,000.00 |
| Adjusted Unlevered Free Cash Flow (US\$ in mm) | \$529 | \$578 | \$600 | \$600 | \$613 | \$593 | \$0 |

## - Discounted Cash Flows

The Discounted Cash Flow Model, analysis uses future free cash flow projections and discounts them to arrive at a present value estimate, which is used to evaluate the potential for investment. If the value arrived at through DCF analysis is higher than the current cost of the investment, the opportunity may be a good one. (Investopedia, 2015)

The projections of the Unlevered Free Cash Flow, P\&L and Balance Sheet were taking from some macro assumptions, company assumptions and analyst assumptions.

- EBITDA \& Revenues, the CAGR (Compound Average Growth Rate) from 20112014 was $16 \%$ due to the increase in investments, as the controlling stake of Odinsa, the JV with Conconcreto, and other operation improvements of its existing subsidiaries. Although this high margin, the growth margin for the forecasted five years was considerately lower, as the company mainly depends from its cement operations and is expected the real estate and construction will decrease in Colombia and the other subsidiaries can be affected again by Nino Effect.
- The D\&A for the forecasted years kept constant as no Capex expenditure or sale is expected, and the nature of this account of been stable.
- The Financial Transaction Tax, decreased due to the natural deleverage of any company in the forecasted years. On the other side, any increase in debt is expected for the next years.
- The Tax rate changed on the forecasted years, due to the government politics for the next five years, to increase the government income. In 2020 is going to raise to $39 \%$, although the impact on the company will be strong, the tax shield will be benefit as well.
- The Net Working Capital is expected to have an increase due to the JV with Conconcreto, but is not going to affect the results strongly. On the other hand, there are not projected Capital Expenditures in the next years according to the financial plans of the third quarter report of Grupo Argos.
- The FX rate will keep over the 3000 COP/USD, it's possible that in the farthest years, the FX decrease something. Although this situation most of the operations of Cementos Argos are not affected by the currency changes.

The Increase in EBITDA and decrease in debt, will affect positively the result, on the other hand, the change in the FX decreased the value of the company.

After taking this assumptions, the Cash Flow for 2020 is expected to raise US\$ 590 mm or $\$ 1,780$ bn in local currency.

## - Sensitivity Analysis

On the other hand, the Discounted Cash Flow sensibility analysis, orange box in page 20, change something depending on the WACC, growth and Exit Multiples.

- The Perpetuity Growth Approach average WACC was $8.0 \%$, and with an assumption that the flows are stable, and don't grow: The DCF in present value is US\$ $9,9 \mathrm{bn}$. The Equity Value (discounting the debt and adding the cash and investments in subsidiaries) results for the same assumptions was US\$ 8,0 bn

Perpetuity Growth Approach

|  | Perpetuity Grow th Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1.0\%) | (0.5\%) | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% |  |
| WACC | Firm Value as of 12/31/15 (US\$ in mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0\% | \$10,288 | \$10,800 | \$11,386 | \$12,061 | \$12,850 |  |
| 7.5\% | \$9,664 | \$10,106 | \$10,606 | \$11,178 | \$11,838 |  |
| 8.0\% | \$9,113 | \$9,496 | \$9,928 | \$10,417 | \$10,976 |  |
| 8.5\% | \$8,623 | \$8,958 | \$9,333 | \$9,755 | \$10,233 |  |
| 9.0\% | \$8,185 | \$8,480 | \$8,808 | \$9,174 | \$9,586 |  |
| 2020 Free Cash Flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$854 | \$85 |  | \$862 | \$867 | \$871 |  |
| WACC | Equity Value as of 12/31/15 (US\$ in mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7\% | \$8,975 |  | \$9,487 | \$10,072 | \$10,748 | \$11,536 |
| 8\% | \$8,351 |  | \$8,792 | \$9,293 | \$9,865 | \$10,525 |
| 8\% | \$7,799 |  | \$8,183 | \$8,614 | \$9,103 | \$9,662 |
| 9\% | \$7,309 |  | \$7,645 | \$8,020 | \$8,441 | \$8,919 |
| 9\% | \$6,871 |  | \$7,166 | \$7,494 | \$7,860 | \$8,272 |

- The Exit Multiple Approach with the same WACC of $8.0 \%$, and an assumption of an Exit multiple of 8.0x; resulted in a DCF in NPV of US\$ 10,0 bn. The equity value, with same assumptions resulted in a US\$ 8.5 bn.

Exit Multiple Approach


This results turned in a Equity Value of Grupo Argos of US\$ 8.3 bn on average.

### 5.4 Sum of the Parts (Market Capitalization)

In the Sum of the parts valuation, all the core and non-core investments of the company are considered, and the cash is summed and the Debt and Administrative Expenses are subtracted, this is the Fair value of the company.


Although this Valuation, all the Holding Companies have a discount due to the lack of control of its subsidiaries (not all the holding hold the $100 \%$ of its subsidiaries), the liquidation discount (represents the expenses that the subsidiaries pass to the Holding in capital gains or when plan to pass its assets to the holding, it must pay taxes).

The Holding Discount for Grupo Argos was of $20 \%$ of its Fair Value before discount, after this, Grupo Argos Value rose the US\$ 6 bn. In this case, the Market value decreased due to the market negativity, and the FX rate fair in the Colombian Stock Market.

## 6. Conclusions and recommendations

After the analysis of the core business of Grupo Argos, I have concluded that the most accurate Valuation method is the Sum of the parts, due its easy to collect information and require expending less time than an DCF , in contrast an DCF is a precisely valuation method for a HoldCo. After doing the research or Due Diligence of Grupo Argos, the main possibilities of the company to change are:

1. Cementos Argos should be careful with the prices, as the demand is going to increase due to the 4 G and infrastructure investments, and others players could entry to their market
2. Celsia as a power company is protected, so it's going to be a stable investment (constant cash flow) for Grupo Argos
3. Odinsa, as new investment, should be carefully managed., with all the current infrastructure requirements of Colombia and Southamerica, the company should look for more investment opportunities The strategy of Grupo Argos in the latest years is focused in increase its investment in new industries (Odinsa and JV with Conconcreto), although this expansion strategy, my recommendation is to focus on its core investments in the next years, to optimize process.

On the other hand, Grupo Argos has a $9,2 \%$ weight in the Colombian COLCAP Index, iys listed subsidiaries are more attractive for investors, due to avoiding administrative expenses and undesired diversification, the non-listed assets are on investment phase due this the investors prefer to invest directly on its listed subsidiaries.
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## Appendix



| Grupo Argos |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Total EV | 8,550 |
| Net Debt | $(3,639)$ |
| Total Equity Value | 12,190 |
|  |  |
| EV / Revenues 2015 | 1.7 x |
| EV / EBTDA 2015 | 6.9 x |
| EV / Revenues 2016 | 1.7 x |
| EV / EBITDA 2016 | 6.6 x |

## Stake

## Total Argos Value

## Market Value

As of September 2015 - Bloomberg

| Estrategic Assets | Non Estrategir Cash | Debt | NPV of Admi Fair Value of Eq Holding Discoul Grupo Argos Fair Value of the Equi' |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 5,400 | 8,000 | 7,431 | 6,841 | - | 5,910 | - |
| 5,400 | 2,600 | 21 | 590 | 44 | 7,387 | 1,477 | 5,910 |
| 5,400 | 2,600 | 21 | 590 | 44 | 7,387 | 1,477 | 5,910 |



## WACC Template <br> WACC

## WACC Calculation Inputs

Target Capital Structure (Debt / Total Capital): 25.0 - 35.0 \%
Median Capital Structure of Selected Companies:
32.1 \%

| After-Tax Cost of Debt: |  | 3.6 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected Long-Term Yield: |  | 5.5 \% |
| - Statutory Marginal Tax Rate: |  | 34.0 \% |
| Cost of Equity: 5.0\% Equity Market Risk Premium | 7.5 - | 8.8 \% |
| 7.5\% Equity Market Risk Premium | 8.9 - | 10.4 \% |


| Risk Free Rate (30-Year Treasury Bond): |  | 3.1 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumed Equity Market Risk Premium - low : |  | 5.0 \% |
| Assumed Equity Market Risk Premium - high: |  | 7.5 \% |
| Relevered Equity Beta: | 0.57 - | 0.63 |
| - Industry Median Unlevered Asset Beta: ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 0.46 |
| - Implied Debt / Equity Ratio: | 33.3 - | 53.8 \% |
| Political Risk Premium: | 1.5 - | 2.5 \% |
| High Yield Issuer? (Y / N) |  | N |
| Weighted Average Cost of Capital: | 6.5 - | 8.0 \% |
| 5.0\% Equity Market Risk Premium | 6.5 - | 7.0 \% |
| 7.5\% Equity Market Risk Premium | 7.6 - | 8.0 \% |

The low end of the WACC is based upon the low end of the equity market risk premium ( $5.0 \%$ ) and the high end of the debt / total capital ratio. The high end is based on the high end of the equity market risk premium (7.0\%) and the low end of the capital structure.
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## Grupo Argos 2011-'15LTM Detailed Financials



Balance Sheet
Cash
Financial Assets
Debtors
Other Assets
Total Current Assets

Valuations
Asset Valuation
Other Assets
Total Non Current Assets
total ASSETS
Financial Obligations
Bonds
Debts to Pay
Other Current Liabilities
Total Current Liabilities
Financial Obligations
Bonds
Convertibles (Hybrid)
Other Non Current Liabilities
Total Non Current Liabilities
tOTAL LIABILITIES
LCU
TOTAL EQUITY LCU

|  | 501,485 | 846,894 | 596,520 | 1,002,013 | 585,852 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 481,086 | 621,161 | 930,428 | 341,125 | 1,184 |
|  | 1,197,464 | 1,155,051 | 1,283,333 | 1,772,506 | 2,304,182 |
|  | 439,562 | 523,286 | 533,913 | 863,838 | 1,115,009 |
| LCU | 2,619,597 | 3,146,392 | 3,344,194 | 3,979,482 | 4,006,227 |
|  | 7,370,482 | 7,172,640 | 12,656,081 | 13,675,336 | 14,851,466 |
|  | 7,370,482 | 7,172,640 | 7,566,366 | 11,543,684 | 12,825,893 |
|  | 8,033,553 | 9,308,162 | 3,848,694 | 5,542,885 | 3,560,722 |
| LCU | 22,774,517 | 23,653,442 | 24,071,141 | 30,761,905 | 31,238,081 |
| LCU | 25,394,114 | 26,799,834 | 27,415,335 | 34,741,387 | 35,244,308 |
|  | 2,352,804 | 1,409,261 | 720,914 | 1,702,692 | 2,021,761 |
|  | 234,640 | 87,091 | 204,182 | 234,525 | 404,127 |
|  | 966,555 | 853,216 | 873,371 | 1,420,169 | 1,463,741 |
|  | 1,079,467 | 1,274,464 | 1,060,224 | 672,582 | 874,818 |
|  | 4,633,466 | 3,624,032 | 2,858,691 | 4,029,968 | 4,764,447 |
|  | 2,170,285 | 1,685,905 | 1,063,692 | 2,813,105 | 3,639,057 |
|  | 1,606,146 | 2,530,588 | 3,139,655 | 4,737,416 | 4,630,961 |
|  | 0 | 794,248 | 694,448 | 539,087 | 0 |
|  | 674,338 | 513,603 | 476,544 | 1,957,593 | 2,162,051 |
|  | 4,450,769 | 5,524,344 | 5,374,339 | 10,047,201 | 10,432,069 |
| LCU | 9,084,235 | 9,148,376 | 8,233,030 | 14,077,169 | 15,196,516 |
| LCU | 16,309,879 | 17,651,458 | 19,182,305 | 20,664,218 | 20,047,792 |


|  |  |  |  | $43 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dividend per Share (COP) | 200 | 212 | 230 | 248 | 248 |


|  | Units | Actuals |  |  |  | Forecasts |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{C A G R 11-14}{\text { Grow th }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015E 2 | 2016E | 2017E | 218E | 2019E | 2020E |  |
| Revenues Cementos Argos | \% | 63\% | 67\% | 65\% | 64\% | 16\% | 10\% | 10\% | 12\% | 15\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| Revenues Celsia (Pow er) |  | 32\% | 30\% | 31\% | 29\% | F $10 \%$ | 10\% | 5\% | 5\% | 8\% | 10\% | 12\% |
| Finnacial Activities |  | 5\% | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 8\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Real Estate |  | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 10\% | 2\% | 2\% | 5\% | 5\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Others |  | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 16\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% | 56\% |
| Total Revenues | \% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 4\% | 0\% | -2\% | 0\% | 3\% | 5\% | 16\% |
| COGS | \% | 71\% | 60\% | 51\% | 45\% | 3\% | -1\% | 0\% | -1\% | -1\% | -1\% | -1\% |
| Administrative Expenses |  | 7\% | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |
| Sale Expenses |  | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |  |
| EBITDA Margin | \% | 28\% | 24\% | 25\% | 23\% | 7\% | 9\% | 9\% | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% | 8\% |
| Operating Income | \% | 20\% | 30\% | 39\% | 45\% | 40\% | 20\% | 30\% | 35\% | 40\% | 45\% | 51\% |
| Net financial income (Expense) |  | -6\% | -6\% | -5\% | -5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Income (Expenses) |  | -8\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exchange Differences |  | -1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends |  | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Before Taxes | \% | -13\% | -3\% | -3\% | -3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | -3\% | -2\% | -6\% | -5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income |  | 4\% | 25\% | 31\% | 36\% | 24\% | 23\% | 22\% | 21\% | 20\% | 19\% | 40\% |
| Minority Interest |  | -1\% | -6\% | -5\% | -5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income to SAM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Sheet |  |  |  |  |  | Average |  | Increase |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  |  | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Assets |  |  | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debtors |  |  | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Assets |  |  | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Current Assets | \% |  | 10\% | 12\% | 12\% | 11\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valuations |  |  | 29\% | 27\% | 46\% | 34\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset Valuation |  |  | 29\% | 27\% | 28\% | 28\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Assets |  |  | 32\% | 35\% | 14\% | 27\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Non Current Assets | \% |  | 90\% | 88\% | 88\% | 89\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \% |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  | 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Obligations |  |  | 26\% | 15\% | 9\% | 17\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds |  |  | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debts to Pay |  |  | 11\% | 9\% | 11\% | 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Current Liabilities |  |  | 12\% | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Current Liabilities |  |  | 51\% | 40\% | 35\% | 42\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Obligations |  |  | 24\% | 18\% | 13\% | 18\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds |  |  | 18\% | 28\% | 38\% | 28\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convertibles (Hybrid) |  |  | 0\% | 9\% | 8\% | 6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Non Current Liabilities |  |  | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Non Current Liabilities |  |  | 49\% | 60\% | 65\% | 58\% |  | 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \% |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
[^0]:    WACC

